

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,155		12/10/2001	Raafat R. Mansour	39437	9696
24629 7590 11/10/2003				EXAMINER	
DARYL V	V SCHNU	RR	GLENN, KIMBERLY E		
BARRISTE PO BOX 20		CITOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
18 WEBER	STREEST	WEST	2817		
KITCHENI CANADA	ER, ONTA	RIO, N2H 6N2	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/006,155	MANSOUR, RAAFAT R.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kimberly E Glenn	2817
P riod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		
	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1,2,4-22,24-37 and 39 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	- ' '	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii irom consideration.	
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,11-15,18-22,24-27,31-37 and</u>	d 20 is/are rejected	
·	Claim(s) <u>8-10,16,17 and 28-30</u> is/are objected		
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/		
	ion Papers	or election requirement.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) o	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	pplication No
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
_)	• •	
Attachmen	_	·	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/006,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 21 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zaki US Patent 5,804,534.

Zaki disclose a dual mode filter comprising an input, output and one or more intermediate cavities and conducting resonators within each cavity. The resonator elements are mounted within the cavities by means of insulating mounting. The resonator element can have an alternate ring or doughnut shape. Therefore, a cut portion is removed from the cylindrical shaped resonator element. The resonator elements can be made of a copper, aluminum Invar or a superconducting material. Zaki teaches that it is possible to accurately design each of the composite resonators needed to realize a complex filter function. (Figure 1 and column 3 line 42 through column 4 line 61)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

-

Application/Control Number: 10/006,155 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 2, 4-7, 11, 12, 15, 18-20, 22, 24-27, 31, 32, 33 and 35-37 rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaki US Patent 5,804,534 in view of Nishikawa et al

US Patent 4,423,397 (of record).

The primary reference, Zaki teaches a dual mode filter comprising an input, output and one or more intermediate cavities and conducting resonators within each cavity. The resonator elements are mounted within the cavities by means of insulating mounting. The resonator element can have an alternate ring or doughnut shape. Therefore, a cut portion is removed from the cylindrical shaped resonator element. The resonator elements can be made of a copper, aluminum Invar or a superconducting material. Zaki teaches that it is possible to accurately design each of the composite resonators needed to realize a complex filter function. (Figure 1 and column 3 line 42 through column 4 line 61)

Thus, Zaki is shown to teach all the limitation of the claims with exception the resonator being a half cut resonator, the cavity having a rectangular shape and the resonator modified shape having a first cut portion and a second cut portion.

Nishikawa et al shows a half cut resonator and a resonator with a modified shape having a first cut portion and a second cut portion. (Figures 5 and 7)

One of ordinary skilled in the art, at the time of the invention, would have found it obvious to modify the shape of the resonators of Zaki to be half cut or to have a first and second cut portion as taught by Nishikawa et al. Though, the Nishikawa et al reference does not disclose a motivation for this modification, Zaki does disclose a suggestion for this modification. The suggestion would have been to provide a composite resonator capable of providing complex filter functions.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,155

Art Unit: 2817

One of ordinary skilled in the art, at the time of the invention, would have found it obvious to modify the shape of the cavities of the first embodiment Zaki to be rectangular as taught by the third embodiment of Zaki. The motivation for this modification would have been to provide an equivalent alternative cavity shape.

Claims 13, 14 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaki US Patent 5,804,534 in view of Nishikawa et al US Patent 4,423,397(of record) in view of Duong et al US Patent 6,081,175.

Zaki in combination with Nishikawa et al teaches a dual mode filter comprising an input, output and one or more intermediate cavities and conducting resonators within each cavity. The resonator elements are mounted within the cavities by means of insulating mounting. The resonator element can have an alternate ring or doughnut shape. Nishikawa et al teaches a half cut resonator and a resonator with a modified shape having a first cut portion and a second cut portion.

Thus, Zaki and Nishikawa et al teach all the limitation of the claim with the exception of the conductor loaded resonator being used in combination with dielectric resonator.

Duong et al disclose a filter comprising a dielectric resonator in first cavity and a metallic resonator in another cavity. Duong et al states the metallic resonator operate in a transverse electric and magnetic field (TEM) mode while the dielectric resonator operates in transverse electric (TE) mode. (Column 1 lines 37 through column 2 line 2 and figures 2-4)

One skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the filter of Zaki to include a cavity having a dielectric resonator. The motivation for this modification would have been to provide advantageous benefit of a filter capable of operating both TEM mode and TE mode.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,155

Art Unit: 2817

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

Claims 8-10, 16, 17 and 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With regard to claim 8-10 and 28-30, the prior art of record does not disclose or fairly teach a

resonator having a semicircular shape with one straight edge and a first cut away portion having

a rectangular shape and being substantially centrally located in the straight edge. With regards to

claims 16, the prior art of record does not disclose a filter having eight cavities wherein the first

and last cavities contain conductor loading resonator and the remaining cavities contain dielectric

resonators. With regards to claims 17, the prior art of record does not disclose a filter having

eight cavities wherein the first, second and third cavities contain conductor loading resonator and

the remaining cavities contain dielectric resonators.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kimberly E Glenn whose telephone number is (703) 306-5942.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,155 Page 6

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

BENNY T. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2817

Kimberly E Glenn

Examiner Art Unit 2817

keg